1 2	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 492089; pro hac vice)	MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532 mark.perry@weil.com	
3	crichman@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1700 M Street, N.W.	JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar No. 1500231; <i>pro hac vice</i>) joshua.wesneski@weil.com	
4 5	Washington, D.C. 20036-4504 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036	
6		Telephone: 202.682.7000 Facsimile: 202.857.0940	
7	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	OAKLAND DIVISION		
12	EPIC GAMES, INC.	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH	
13	Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v.	DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S	
14	APPLE INC.,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL	
15	Defendant, Counterclaimant	The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers	
16	Berendant, Counterclaimant		
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY ISO APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL

I, Mark A. Perry, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and a member of the Bar of this Court. I am a partner at the law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel of record for Apple Inc. ("Apple") in this case. I am familiar with Apple's treatment of privileged information based on my personal experience representing Apple. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and, if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto. I submit this declaration in support of Apple's Administrative Motion to Seal (the "Motion"). ¹
- 2. I am aware that the law of this Circuit allows information to be filed under seal for good cause or in certain compelling circumstances. I also understand that courts routinely seal filings where documents include attorney-client privileged information. I understand that this Court has broad latitude to prevent the public disclosure of privileged information.
- 3. Apple has reviewed its Response to Order Regarding Discovery Sanctions [Dkt. 1171], the Declaration of Mark A. Perry in Support of Apple Inc.'s Response to Order Regarding Discovery Sanctions [Dkt. 1171], and the exhibits attached thereto and now proposes to redact portions of these documents and certain exhibits in full as set forth below, on the grounds that, if disclosed, the redacted information or exhibits would reveal confidential, attorney-client privileged and work-product protected information.
- 4. Apple has narrowly tailored its sealing request to maximize the public's access to court documents.

¹ Courts in the Ninth Circuit routinely grant motions to seal based on declarations of counsel. *See, e.g.*, *In re Apple Secs. Litig.*, 19-cv-02033-YGR, Dkt. 223 (N.D. Cal.); *In re Qualcomm Litig.*, No. 17-cv-00108-GPC, Dkt. 398-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2018); *Avago Techs. U.S. Inc. v. Iptronics Inc.*, No. 10-cv-02863-EJD, Dkt. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015); *Cisco Sys., Inc. v. OpenTV Inc.*, No. 13-cv-00282-EJD, Dkt. 76 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2013). If the Court deems this declaration insufficient, Apple respectfully requests that it be permitted to file a further declaration supporting filing under seal.

5. Below is a chart detailing the documents sealable for the reasons explained herein, as well as in Apple's Motion:

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Document Title	Reason to Seal
Highlighted information on pages 5 and 10-11.	Apple Inc.'s Response to Order Regarding Discovery Sanctions [Dkt. 1171]	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Highlighted information in paragraphs 37, 44, 52, 53, 54, 92, and 93.	Declaration of Mark A. Perry in Support of Apple Inc.'s Response to Order Regarding Discovery Sanctions [Dkt. 1171]	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Entire document	Ex. A (Outside counsel's privilege-specific review protocol provided to Deloitte)	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Entire document	Ex. B (Outside counsel's general review protocol provided to Deloitte)	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Entire document	Ex. C (Outside counsel quality control review protocol)	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Entire document	Ex. D (Apple's companywide privilege training)	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine
Entire document	Ex. K (Outside counsel re- review protocol)	Attorney-client privilege and work-product doctrine

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 7th day of March 2025, in Middleburg, Virginia.

Page 4 of 4